PFAS Treatment Method Optimization for a Large Public Water Utility

Kyle Hay, Project Engineer **Blake Martin**, Vice President

Battelle Bioremediation Symposium April 17, 2019 – Baltimore, MD



PFAS Background

- Per- and Poly- Fluoroalkyl Substances are used in making fluoropolymer coatings
- Environmental Persistence
 - Resistant to:
 - Oil and Grease
 - Staining
 - Water
 - Heat
- Bioaccumulation
 - <1 week to 10 years</p>
 - "Long" chain vs "short" chain





PFAS Background

















So where is it? (In high concentrations)

- Airports
- Air Force Bases
- Naval Facilities
- Fire Fighting Academies
- Manufacturing Facilities





Changing Drinking Water Standards

- 2009 Initial USEPA pHA
 - PFOA 400 ng/L
 - PFOS 200 ng/L
- 2016 Revised USEPA lifetime health advisory
 - PFOA + PFOS (Combined) 70 ng/L

Individual States

- New Jersey 13/14 ng/L (individual compounds)
- Vermont 20 ng/L (combined five compounds)
- Connecticut/Massachusetts 70 ng/L (combined five compounds)
- New Hampshire 38 ng/L (PFOA), 70 ng/L (PFOS), 85 ng/L (PFHxS), 23 ng/L (PFNA)
- Affected communities pushing for 1 ng/L limit
 - Based on long term exposure to PFAS



Case Study: Former Pease Air Force Base



- Portsmouth, NH
- Shut down in 1991
- Airport with split use between commercial flights and Air National Guard
- Expanding office space with some light industrial, college buildings, golf course, restaurants, day care centers



Previous Ground Water Contamination

- VOCs plumes (TCE/PCE) found around Haven Well
- A WTP constructed in the mid 1980's to treat for VOCs
- 1990 site remediation started under CERCLA
- Due to low demand (base closure) and steadily improving GW quality, WTP never activated, equipment removed in 2013



Pease Well Is Shut Down After Unregulated Contaminant Discovered









Local and Federal Legislative Delegation



March 18, 2015 - Senator Shaheen addresses Pease PFC contamination to U.S. Air Force

2016 – Governor (now Senator) Hassan meets with Testing for Pease representatives



1 01:22

01:54 HD

Technical Response Team Forms

- Weekly meetings (initially) either in-person or via teleconference:
- City of Portsmouth Staff
 - City consultants
- Pease Development Authority
- Environmental Protection Agency
- New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services
 - Waste Division
 - Drinking Water and Groundwater Bureau
- Air Force Civil Engineering
 - Air Force Consultants
- New Hampshire Health and Human Services
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)
- Others, depending on topic





Public Involvement:

- Presentations to Portsmouth City Council
- Haven Well Community Advisory Board
 - 14 public meetings in 2014
- Blood Testing
 - March 31st, 2015 Public Meeting where NHHS Announces Protocol for Pease Blood Testing
 - Three public meetings announcing blood test results
- ATSDR Community Assistance Panel
 - Formed in 2016 to address long-term health concerns
- Pease Restoration Advisory Board
 - Reestablished in 2016



Former Pease Air Force Base

- Three treatment systems
 - Site 8 (remediation)
 - AIMS (remediation)
 - Grafton Road (drinking water)





Drinking Water Sources

Initial Haven Well sample came back at 2.5 µg/L

Well	Flow Rate (gpm)	PFOA+PFOS (μg/L)	
Harrison	286	0.029	
Smith	343	0.012 1.495	
Haven	534		

Average PFOA+PFOS concentrations, Harrison and Smith: 2016-2017, Haven: 2016





Drinking Water Technologies

- Granular Activated
 Carbon
 - Advantages cost effective, several systems in use, PFAS can be transported offsite for destruction
 - Disadvantages may be costly to changeout for short chain breakthrough







Drinking Water Technologies

- Ion Exchange Resins
 - Advantages custom designed treatment, long service life, smaller vessels required
 - Disadvantages expensive if single use, new technology with limited data







Drinking Water Technologies

Membranes



- Advantages near 100% removals
- Disadvantages waste stream, high capital and O&M costs, expertise required to operate system





Existing Facility









GAC Piloting – Harrison and Smith

Purpose – monitor GAC effects on pH

> Potential issues with
> orthophosphate
> effectiveness





Demonstration Study

Purpose

- Test GAC effectiveness on Pease (Harrison and Smith) water
- Buy time
 - Test new media
 - Further research
 - Continue negotiations





Demonstration Filter Schematic





GAC Filter Installation







Demonstration Filter Results

- 26 months of operation, ~344,000,000 gallons treated (65,530 BV)
 - GAC works well for low levels of PFOA/PFOS
- Media in PV2 replaced March 2018, All media replaced in November 2018
- Last sampling event before changeout (November 2, 2018):
 - PFOA at 75% sample port of PV1
 - PFHpA at 75% sample port of PV1
 - PFHxS at 100% sample port of PV1
 - PFHxA at 25% sample port of PV2
 - PFBA at 100% sample port of PV2
- Low concentrations result in inconsistent results (particularly PFBA)



Objectives of Haven Well Pilot Test

- Uncertain if GAC would perform well for significantly higher levels of PFAS.
- Compare the ability of media to remove PFAS from the Haven Well
 - IX Resin = ECT's SORBIX LC1
 - GAC = Calgon's F400
- Confirm design parameters and system sizing to be used in the preparation of the full-scale treatment system technology evaluation.
- Select PFAS-removal technology for full-scale implementation based on lifecycle cost comparison and risk



Haven Pilot Setup

- Fabricated dual sided pilot skid for side-by-side testing: IX Resin vs. GAC
 - Each side:
 - Design flowrate of 112 gpd
 - 4 columns in series, 2.5-min EBCT each
 - 1.25-inch column diameter
 - 30-inch media bed height
- Sampled & analyzed for 23 PFAS compounds out of each column







Haven Pilot Results





Haven Pilot Results





Haven Pilot Results





Haven Pilot Conclusions

- Resin significantly outperforms GAC when raw water PFAS concentrations are high
- Resin removed short chain compounds better than GAC
- As regulations move PFAS limits lower, the advantages of resin over GAC goes up



Twenty Year Present Worth Analysis Grafton Road Drinking Water Treatment Plant

Γ		Construction Cost		Operations Costs		Dracopt Worth	
	Treatment Option	Vessels and Media	Credits*	Annual Media Cost	Increase Electrical Cost Due to Additional Headloss	Cost (20 year, 4%)	
	GAC Only Treatment	\$2,140,000	-	\$304,000	-	\$6,271,000	
	Resin in Parallel and GAC in Series	\$2,430,000	-	\$91,300	\$2,000	\$3,698,000	
\vdash	Rosin in Sorios						
	and GAC in	\$2 000 500	\$(910,000)	\$99,300	\$8,000	\$3 173 000	
	Parallel	φ2,000,000	φ(310,000)	φ33,000	40,000	40,170,000	
	* Credits associated with reduction in building footprint and elimination of backwash supply and						
recycle tanks.							



Grafton Road Water Facility Process Schematic New Treatment System





Proposed Final Layout





Current Rendering – Grafton Road Water Treatment Facility





National Assessment of Municipal Treatment

GAC Filtration

- Ann Arbor, MI
- Aqua America, PA
- Barnstable, MA
- Hoosick Falls, NY
- Issaquah, WA
- ➢ Little Hocking, OH
- Merrimack Village District, NH
- New Castle, DE
- Newburgh, NY
- Oakdale, MN
- Portsmouth, NH (temporary filters)
- Suffolk County Water Authority, NY
- Westfield, MA

Resin Filtration

- Horsham, PA (with carbon)
- > Portsmouth, NH (with carbon)
- Widefield WSD, CO (resin only)

Membrane Filtration

West Morgan – East Lawrence, AL (expressed interest)

