#### BURNS MCDONNELL.

### An Adaptive Approach to Integrating RCRA Corrective Action with Facility Demolition

Diana Marquez; Martha Hildebrandt, PG; and David Barker, PG (Burns & McDonnell); and Charles Grant (Nutrien)

> Battelle Bioremediation Symposium

> > April 18, 2019

## **Project Location**

- ~ 70 miles southwest of Lincoln, NE
- ~130 miles southwest of Omaha, NE









# **Operations History**

- Began operations in 1979
- Produced zinc and manganese sulfate micronutrients for use in fertilizer and animal feed supplements
- Feedstocks included:
  - Brass mill fume
  - Zinc oxides from zinc smelters
- Zinc sulfate filtrations produces "lead cake"
  - Characteristically hazardous waste: lead, cadmium, arsenic, chromium, mercury



# **Operations History**

#### **Feedstock Unloading**

# Operations History

#### **Material Processing**



# Operations History

**Filtration** 







# **Regulatory History**

#### 1997 - Issued Unilateral Administrative Order (USEPA)

- Removal/disposal of waste pile
- Removal/disposal of lead cake
- Prepare Zinc Oxide Dust Handling Plan
- 1998 Administrative Order on Consent for Corrective Action (USEPA)
  - RFI/Risk Assessment
  - CMS/CMI
- 2007 Administrative Order on Consent (NDEQ)
  - Closure of Lead Cake Storage Area
  - Site assessment
  - Post-closure monitoring

# Problem Formulation in April 2016

- Property acquired by current owner and operations ceased in 2014
- Previous corrective action had addressed SWMUs outside of buildings
- Forward momentum in regulatory program had stalled
- Owner wanted property cleared and ready for development by end of calendar year.



BURNS MEDONNELL

# Challenges

- 1. Slow regulatory program
- 2. Lack of site characterization
- 3. Multiple regulatory jurisdictions



From: RCRA Facilities Investigation Remedy Selection Track (FIRST) Toolbox Training, July 22, 2015



# Planning

- Agile Work Plans with decision trees for:
  - Pre-Characterization Work Plan Further delineation
  - Soil Excavation Plan Extent of remediation
  - Soil Treatment Work Plan Soil treatment and disposal
- Single Party Oversight One contractor responsible for management, planning, demolition and remediation
- Simultaneous demolition and characterization



## **Demolition**

- Removed and disposed of 580 tons of fertilizer solids as hazardous waste
- Removed and recycled 320 tons of metal
- Rubblized 11,450 tons of concrete for on-site reuse
- Removed and disposed of 760 tons of demolition debris and
- 94 loads of concrete as nonhazardous waste



# **Demolition**



## **Soil Remediation**

- Excavation area based on grid sampling conducted during characterization
- Stabilized in-situ, excavated and disposed of 6,850 tons of soil as nonhazardous waste
- After stabilization, soil was disposed as nonhazardous waste, resulting in \$1.3 million in cost savings



# **Soil Remediation**



# Site Restoration

- Crushed/rubblized concrete used as backfill in excavated areas
- Site surface restored with gravel cover
- Removal of impacted soil significantly reduced Loveland's financial liability and risk
- Site immediately available for development and reuse



### **Secret to Success – Communication!**



# **How Did This Compare to Normal?**

RCRA Corrective Action (Years) Typical	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
RCRA Corrective Action	17+ years																	
Investigation																		
Remedy Selection																		
Remedy Construction																		
Remedy Complete																	7	<b>r</b>
Adaptive RCRA Corrective Action		9 mor	ths															
Investigation																		
Remedy Selection																		
Remedy Construction																		
Remedy Complete	*																	

#### **Results**

- The facility was successfully decommissioned
- Vadose zone soil was remediated to established cleanup levels on schedule and within budget.

More than **7,000** man-hours worked at the site over a 9-month period with no recordable injuries or illnesses

### **Lessons Learned**

- Develop work plans with clear decision trees that are accepted by all stakeholders.
- Keep open lines of communication
- Be responsive to stakeholder concerns in a timely manner.
- Have an on-site construction manager with environmental experience
- Authorize project team to execute decisions.



# **Questions?**



# CREATE AMAZING.