Remediation and Management of Deep Petroleum Hydrocarbon Impacts using PersulfOx[®] at a Former Agricultural Site

Presenter: Ashley Cedzo-Northwest District Technical Manager for Regenesis

REGENESIS®

Technology-Based Solutions for the Environment





- WSP: Daria Klimenko, P.Ag., CESA, EP (Project Manager)
- EXP Global: Jay Rao, P.Eng. (BC&AB), CSAP (Senior Environmental Engineer)



PRESENTATION OUTLINE





- Regulatory Process Overview
- Project Background
- Conceptual Site Model
- Remedial Excavation (Process and Results)
- In-situ Technology PersulfOx®
- Chemical Application (Process and Results)
- Conclusions



AEP – COMPLIANCE PROCESS



Project Timeline

PHC plume delineation and remediation required to support the future proposed urban development.



Background

Historical site use:

- Farming Facility since ~1962
- Three ASTs containing diesel and gasoline (removed ~2010) without secondary containment
- Two former pump islands without catch trays
- Stressed vegetation at the fuel dispensing area
- A number of drums and smaller containers of oil stored in one of the Quonsets without secondary containment
- Numerous outbuildings, silos, old corrals and a residence up to October of 2013.





Conceptual Site Model



- Clay underlain by fractured sandstone and shale
- Fine and coarse-textured soil



- Groundwater
 - Seasonal depth between3 and 10 mbgs (10-33 ft)
 - Direction: SE (prior to Excavation); NW and NE (after remediation)
- High conductivity in sandstone
 - Domestic Use Aquifer pathway could not be eliminated
 - Most stringent Alberta Tier
 1 Guidelines are used



Conceptual Site Model (contd.)

Benzene = 8.1 mg/kgToluene = 67.2 mg/kgEthylbenzene = 27.4 mg/kgXylenes = 107 mg/kgF1 = 887 mg/kgF2 = 673 mg/kgNaphthalene = 1.74 mg/kgArsenic = 17.6 mg/kg

Groundwater:

Soil :

Benzene = 11.2 mg/LEthylbenzene = 14.6 mg/LToluene = 7.55 mg/LXylenes = 67.8 mg/LF1 = 507 mg/LF2 = 8.3 mg/LDissolved metals





Conceptual Site Model (contd.) and Remedial Excavation



Excavation, dyed freefloating product was identified within clay soil at ~ 5 mbgs

Why the need for a large excavation?

- Site land use (initially from agricultural to residential, then rezoned to commercial)
- No Risk Management Plan is allowed for new single residential homes
 - PHC concentrations still above vapour inhalation pathway





REMEDIAL EXCAVATION (contd.)

Final Excavation Extent: 95 m x 40 m with depths ranging 6 to 7 mbgs

Clean soil excavated, tested and used for backfilling:

- 1,462 m³ of topsoil
- 7,468 m³ of overburden clay material



 18,160 tonnes of PHC impacted material excavated and disposed off-site.



Soil and Groundwater Results Post - Remedial Excavation



- Soil concentrations at all final excavation walls met the Commercial AB Tier 1 Guidelines (AT1)
 - Soil and groundwater concentration at the base of the excavation were above the Commercial AT1 and vapour inhalation guidelines
 - Maximum Concentrations of PHCs:

Scale 1:000

- Soil [Benzene] ranged 1.53 mg/kg (AT1: 0.046 mg/kg)
- Groundwater [Benzene] 0.4 mg/L (AT1: 0.005 mg/L)

201

Groundwater [F1-F2] 2.7 and 1.7 mg/L (AT1: 2.2/1.1 mg/L)

REGENESIS

Land Science

Technology – Why Active Treatment???

- Site sub-surface conditions (fractured bedrock and depth of impacts) remedial excavation was no longer feasible
- Presence of contaminants still in groundwater and soil beneath the water table at the site indicated that *in-situ* treatment should be considered
- Overall goal was to close the site to allow for redevelopment to occur as quickly as possible
- Despite concentrations being fairly low for *in-situ* technologies, ISCO was chosen based on:
 - Short treatment timeframe available (impending site redevelopment)
 - Unknown contaminant concentrations in bedrock

Open excavation easier for necessary contact within 1 application

Challenges

- Large extent of remaining post-excavation onsite contamination above the vapour inhalation pathway
- Limited time available to remediate
- Limited budget available to remediate
- Low groundwater temperatures





The objective was to aggressively reduce contaminant mass through abiotic oxidation reactions



Why catalyzed persulfate?

- All-in-one product. Easily mixes with water and is applied using subsurface injection or soil mixing tools
- 90% sodium persulfate and 10% blended silica/silicate catalyst
- Can be safely mixed without the risks and potential hazards associated with other persulfate activation methods





Land Science

The catalyst in action



Completely soluble Easy to inject ______ Wide distribution Initial pH activation



Catalyst forms as pH↓ Solid remains in place Oxidation is catalyzed Catalyst regenerates Contaminants actually sorbed



Land Science

KEGENESI

Chemical Application to Groundwater in Open Excavation



PersulfOx® application -

- 5,000 m3 of groundwater within excavation treated with 18,700 kg PersulfOx®
- PersulfOx® mixed with warm water (between 13 and 25°C)
- The mixed solution was sprayed evenly over the groundwater in the excavation with the high pressure hoses (over 3 days)

Land Science

2014 Soil and Groundwater Results Post-PersulfOx® Application



- All soil and Groundwater concentration below vapour inhalation guidelines
- Soil and groundwater PHC concentrations above the Commercial AT1 <u>BUT</u> below vapour inhalation guidelines
 - Soil [Benzene] 0.047 0.172 mg/kg = 97% reduction
 - (AT1: 0.046 mg/kg; VI: 1.2 mg/kg)
 - Groundwater
 - [Benzene] 0.038 0.282 mg/L = 91% reduction
 - (AT1: 0.005 mg/L; VI: 1.8 mg/kg)
 - [F1-F2] <0.1- 0.3 mg/L = 89% reduction
 - (below AT1 of 2.2/1.1mg/L and below RDL of <0.1 and <0.2 mg/L)
 - [Sulfate] 5,290- 3,160 mg/L
 - (AT: 500 mg/L)
 - lowered to 1710 and 703 mg/L
 - Other dissolved metals and routine water parameters, PAHs

2016 Groundwater Results



Monitoring completed by others (publicly available information):

- Groundwater PHC concentrations below the Commercial AT1 (still below vapor inhalation guidelines)
- Sulfate decreased within the excavation since 2014 but increased down-gradient of the former excavation











Sulfate Concentrations in Remedial Footprint



Figure 1: Concentration of Sulphate in Bedrock Monitoring Wells versus Time from 2014 to 2016

Source: 2016 Groundwater Monitoring- Groundwater Information Technologies Ltd.

- BART used in 7 wells in 2016
 - Indicated active Sulfate reducing bacteria population
- Sulfate in wells exceeds guidelines however, concentrations are stable or decreasing
 - Background well while below guideline has had a ten-fold reduction in Sulfate since 2014suggesting natural attenuation



Conclusions

- 1. All post-treatment soil and groundwater PHC concentrations were below the vapour inhalation pathway guidelines for commercial use.
- Introduction of PersulfOx resulted in the absence or reduction of PHC impacts within the fractured bedrock in an open excavation, within a short period of time and under the low groundwater temperatures.
- 3. High Sulfate concentrations were identified as a result of this chemical application which over the long term would assist in the natural attenuation of any residual.

The high Sulfate concentrations are expected to attenuate to background concentrations with time as Sulfate is an electron acceptor in the natural attenuation process of PHCs.

 Exposure Control Plan/Risk Management Plan developed for the Site to manage residual impacts.



Questions

Ashley Cedzo, M Ed. Northwest District Technical Manager

acedzo@Regenesis.com

T +1 (425) 419-8266

www.Regenesis.com

Daria Klimenko, B.Sc. (Hons.), P.Ag., EP, CESA Project Scientist

Daria.Klimenko@wspgroup.com

T + 1 403-271-4442 #261

www.wsp.com

