



# Micropollutant removal in sustainable biological wastewater treatment systems

## Oladapo Komolafe Presentation for Bioremediation symposi May 26, 2017





# **Selection of micropollutants**

- Ubiquitous presence in wastewater.
- Priority substances listed in the EU directives
- From a wide range of applications







PAHs (e.g. Benzo(a)pyrene)



**PBDEs** 







# The problem

- The sources of some of the micropollutants cannot be eliminated e.g. Natural estrogens
- Continuous usage and deposition of the micropollutants into treatment plants
- Effluents from conventional wastewater treatment plants identified as major route into open water bodies

 Tertiary treatment processes like Advanced Oxidation Processes and sorption onto granular activated carbon are expensive and carbon polluting.







>

ts

# The problem

• The sc Residential elimin Health care Contir Municipal sewage Septic Sewage treatment into tr Leakage Municipal Treated effluent facility sewage > Leachate • Efflue Agricultural runoff identi Sludge Groundwater echarge

Sources, transport, and fate of micropollutants in the environment (EPA).

 Tertiary treatment processes like Advanced Oxidation Processes and sorption onto granular activated carbon are expensive and carbon polluting.







# **Research Motivation**

- Little is known about the true biological limits of micropollutant removal by alternative low energy technologies in comparison with conventional treatment systems currently advocated.
  - Alternative low energy systems like Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor (UASBs) and Waste Stabilization Ponds (WSP).
- Individual treatment systems may be biased in the removing different group of micropollutants (e.g halogenated vs non-halogenated compounds)





# **Objectives**

- Validate analytical methods using SPE-GC-MS, SPE-GC-ECD or SPE-LCMS to measure micropollutants in wastewater.
- Investigate the removal of micropollutants from the aqueous phase by real wastewater treatment plants utilizing UASB and WSP technologies- collective system and unit processes.
- Investigate the relative effect of structure/type of compound and microbial community on degradation of the micropollutants in these treatment systems
- Obtain degradation rates for the micropollutants under different conditions





# Approach



Ion Torrent (microbial analysis)





# **Method Validation**

Compound	Recovery in effluent, Mean %, (RSD), n=3	MDL (ng/L)	Method
Triclosan	102 (11.8)	5.6	SPE-GC-MS/ EI
Low molecular weight PAHs	62 – 128 (3.8 – 6.8)	0.4 – 2.7	SPE-GC-MS/ EI
Middle molecular weight PAHs	109 – 133 (0.4 – 0.8)	3.0 – 7.2	SPE-GC-MS/ EI
High molecular weight PAHs	23 – 88 (3.3 – 8.0)	2.7 – 7.4	SPE-GC-MS/ EI
PBDE 28,47, 99,100	83 – 129 (4.7 – 15.2)	0.6 – 2.7	SPE-GC-ECD
PBDE 153, 154, 183	60 - 150 (6.7 - 9.1)	0.2 – 4.2	SPE-GC-ECD
<b>PBDE 209</b>	113 (2.3)	10.8	SPE-GC-ECD

Recovery at 100 ng/L for all compounds except PBDEs at 10 ng/L





# **Method Validation**

Compound	Recovery in ef Mean %, (RSD	fluent, ), n=3	MDL (ng/L)	)	Method
Triclosan	102 (11.8)		5.6		SPE-GC-MS/ EI
Low molecular weight PAHs	62 – 128 (3.8	- 6.8)	0.4 – 2.7		SPE-GC-MS/ EI
Middle molecular weight PAHs	109 – US EPA 130% less th	US EPA recommends 70 – 130% recovery with RSD less than 20%			SPE-GC-MS/ EI
High molecular weight PAHs	23 – 88 (3.3 – 8.0)		2.7 – 7.4		SPE-GC-MS/ EI
PBDE 28,47, 99,100	83 – 129 (4.7 – 15.2)		0.6 – 2.7		SPE-GC-ECD
PBDE 153, 154, 183	60 - 150 (6.7 - 9.1)		0.2 - 4.2		SPE-GC-ECD
<b>PBDE 209</b>	113 (2.3)		10.8		SPE-GC-ECD

Recovery at 100 ng/L for all compounds except PBDEs at 10 ng/L





## **Survey in Brazil**







## **Survey in Brazil**







# **Plants investigated**

#### Activated sludge



#### UASB- Trickling filter



WSP







Receiving

Body

UASB- Trickling filter

# **Plants investigated**

#### Activated sludge



WSP





## **Triclosan and Estrogens in Brazil WWTP**







## **Triclosan and Estrogens in Brazil WWTP**





Estradiol (E2) and ethinylestradiol (EE2) was not found



#### **Concentration of PAHs in WWTPs in Brazil**





#### **Concentration of PAHs in WWTPs in Brazil**





#### EQS Standards Naphthalene: 2000 ng/L Anthracene: 100 ng/L

Fluoranthene 6.3 ng/L Benzo(a)pyrene: 0.2 ng/L



# **Degradation experiment**



- The inocula used was collected from the same WWTPs investigated in survey
- Abiotic and adsorption control were maintained in addition to the reactors.
- Experiments were carried out at room temperature (27-32°C)





#### **Triclosan degradation**



Disappearance of Triclosan						
Conditions	K (h <sup>-1</sup> )	t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)				
Aerobic inocula	0.0072	96				
Anaerobic inocula	0	0				
Facultative inocula (light)	0.0024	289				
Facultative inocula (dark)	0.0063	110				









#### **Estrogen degradation**

Time (hours)











#### **Estrogen degradation**







#### **Degradation of PAHs**













#### **Degradation of PAHs**





## Conclusion

- Reliable analytical methods were developed/validated
- Micropollutant levels and removal capabilities have been compared between different biological systems
- Most of the chemicals were founds in all WWTP investigated and WSP was observed to worked better in removing these chemicals.
- Concentration of the chemicals in final effluent was still above EQS standards or PNEC
- None of the chemicals degraded anaerobically. Therefore, removal rates obtained in the UASB plant were either due to sorption to sludge or volatilization.



# **On-going work**

- Analysing PBDEs samples from the plant survey and degradation experiments
- Putative identification of changes in taxa associated with the degradation of these chemicals.



## **Acknowledgement**

**Supervisors:** Dr. Paola Meynet, Dr. Jan Dolfing, Dr. Wojciech Mrozik, and Dr. Russell Davenport (Newcastle University)

Partners in Brazil: Dr. Cesar Mota (UFMG, Belo Horizonte), Mr. Thiago Bressani

#### **Sponsors**





# Thank you. Any questions?