



Successful In Situ Pilot-Scale Test Design and Implementation to Treat Groundwater Impacted with PCE and TCE through Organic Carbon and Soluble Iron Injections

presentation by: Kevin White, PE (Brown and Caldwell)



THE ELEVENTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON REMEDIATION OF CHLORINATED AND RECALCITRANT COMPOUNDS APRIL 8-12, 2018 PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA





Agenda

- General Site History and Information
- Preliminary RAP and Feasibility Study
- Pilot Test Objectives
- Well Installation Design and Amendment Details
- Pilot Test Results and Conclusions
- Full Scale Design Considerations





Site History

- 7-acre Site with multiple buildings.
- Historic manufacturing activities in late 1900s.
- Redeveloped in 2007 as one warehouse building with a vapor barrier, surrounded by parking.
- Located in a mixed industrial/commercial area in south Los Angeles County.









Geology/Hydrogeology of Injection Zone

- Targeted Injection WBZ 70 to 85 feet bgs
- Soil Type Silty Sand (Porosity 30-40%)
- Flow Direction South/Southwest
- Flow Velocity (at MW-18) 25 feet/year





Soil Remediation/Groundwater Assessment

- Source Area soil was primarily impacted with PCE
 Soil Demond SV/E from 2005
 - Soil Removal and SVE from 2005 2007
 - Groundwater Monitoring from 2009 –
 Present (current PCE max ~1,000 µg/L)
- Several Other Groundwater Plumes
 - \circ Upgradient TCE (max ~8,000 μg /L)
 - Upgradient petroleum hydrocarbons including ~3 feet of LNAPL, Benzene (max ~4,000 µg/L) and MTBE (max ~6,000 µg/L)



BATTELLE



Preliminary GW RAP and Feasibility Study

Treatment Barrier Alternatives

• EHC, EHC-L, Ozone, P&T

Feasibility Study

- Advantages and Disadvantages

 Site Impact (e.g. days on site)?
 - O Current Aquifer Conditions (ORP/DO/DHC/GW Depth and Velocity)?
 - o Well-known/Proven Technology?
 - o Radius of Influence?
 - o Reinjection Rate?

o Cost?



BATTELLE





Pilot Test Selection (What is EHC-L®?)

- First introduced to the market in 2011.
- Two components mixed in the field:

 Emulsified Lecithin Substrate (ELS[™]) 25% Microemulsion → Organic Carbon
 Ferrous Iron (Fe 2+) → Soluble Iron
- Easily injected into wells as opposed to the original EHC® product introduced in 2005, which contains ZVI and would be more difficult to inject into wells.
- Published longevity of 2-3 years.







Pilot Test Objectives

- EHC-L ability to remediate PCE and TCE
- VOC degradation rates
- Microbial population sustainability
- Injection Parameters
- ROI
- Duration of EHC-L effectiveness







Pilot Test Well Installations

• Sonic Rig used vs. traditional HSA





• Optimized the well sealing design (based on a University of Nebraska-Lincoln grout study between 2001 and 2006).







Pilot Test Injection Amendments













Pilot Test Injection



Data Loggers for Injection Monitoring

Tracer Dye Field Test at IMW-3





Reductive Dechlorination Pathway of PCE/TCE







Results at MW-18 and IMW-3 (8 and 10 feet from INJ-1: Within ROI)

-IMW-3 (10 Feet from Injection)

PCE (µg/L)









Results at MW-18 and IMW-3 (8 and 10 feet from INJ-1: Within ROI)

-IMW-3 (10 Feet from Injection)

cis-1,2-DCE (μ g/L)

trans-1,2-DCE (µg/L)







Results at MW-18 and IMW-3 (8 and 10 feet from INJ-1: Within ROI)

-IMW-3 (10 Feet from Injection)

Vinyl Chloride (µg/L)









Results at IMW-4 (15 feet from INJ-1: Outside ROI)

-IMW-4 (15 Feet from Injection)

PCE (µg/L) TCE (μ g/L) Û Months after Injection

Months after Injection





Results at IMW-4 (15 feet from INJ-1: <u>Outside</u> ROI)

-IMW-4 (15 Feet from Injection)







Results at IMW-4 (15 feet from INJ-1: <u>Outside</u> ROI)







Results (EHC-L Presence)

— MW-18 (8 Feet from Injection)

IMW-3 (10 Feet from Injection)

IMW-4 (15 Feet from Injection)

 Fe^{2+} (mg/L)

TOC (mg/L)







Results (Water Quality Parameters)







Results (Water Quality Parameters)







Results – DHC Populations (cells/mL)







Results – Other Microbial Populations (cells/mL)







Pilot Test Conclusions REVISIT PILOT TEST OBJECTIVES:

- EHC-L ability to remediate PCE/TCE:
 ✓ PCE and TCE were quickly reduced below MCL at all locations within 10 feet of the injection well.
- VOC degradation rates (see next slides)





Pilot Test Conclusions

• VOC degradation rates:



MW-18 Summary (8 feet from Injection)



BATTELLE

Pilot Test Conclusions

• VOC degradation rates:



IMW-3 Summary (10 feet from Injection)





Pilot Test Conclusions

REVISIT PILOT TEST OBJECTIVES:

• Microbial Populations:

✓ All microbial populations remain elevated relative to pre-injection levels.

- Injection Parameters:
 - \checkmark 15 gpm with no back pressure.
 - ✓No daylighting.
- ROI:

✓ At least 10 feet laterally from injection well --- Estimated at 12.5 feet based on all information.

- Duration of EHC-L effectiveness:
 - ✓ Favorable reducing conditions persist 20 months after injection (e.g. no PCE rebound, low ORP, and stable, near-neutral pH).





Full Scale Design Considerations

- Spacing increased from the original assumption of 20 feet to 25 feet.
- Possible change in microbial culture and/or increase in DHC during full-scale injection.
- Although PCE/TCE remain low ~2 years after injection, reinjection of EHC-L is still recommended at 2 years to increase microbial activity to address trans-/cis-DCE.







Acknowledgements

Brown and Caldwell Project Team and Technical Team located all throughout the US, include:

Reinhard Ruhmke, PG, CHG (Raleigh, NC) Karnam Ramanand, PhD (Cherry Hill, NJ) Jim Claffey, PhD, PE (Upper Saddle River, NJ) Kevin Dyson, PE (Philadelphia, PA) Jim Nguyen, PE (Irvine, CA) Sandy English (Irvine, CA)



Thank you. Questions?

