### **Using HRSC** to Rapidly Assess LNAPL Distribution, Optimize Well Placement, and Accelerate Remedial Design

2023 Battelle Bioremediation Symposium

May 10, 2023



Thanks to my co-authors:

Hilary Clifton, Terracon Brennan Taylor, Terracon Randy St. Germain, Dakota Technologies, Inc.



#### **Presentation Overview**





#### Site History





North Dakota Historical Maps and Aerial Photography Map Service

2022



Terracon 2022







**Fierracon** Explore with us

### 2020 Limited Site Investigation



- Ten borings/temporary wells
- GRO in soil 139-1,760 mg/kg
- DRO in soil 356-4,790 mg/kg
- GRO in water 2,980-53,700 ug/L
- DRO in water 1,800-28,100 ug/L



### 2021 Site Assessment



- 17 borings advanced; 11 converted to permanent wells
- Groundwater ranged from ~7-12 feet bgs
- LNAPL in-well measured thicknesses ranging from 0.02-4.5 feet
- Soil and GW impacts above standard throughout the assessed area
- VOCs detected above EPA VISLs in soil gas probes in store basement
- More robust assessment, but still not defined



## **Regulatory Feedback**

- December 2021 Summary report issued
  - January 2022 Regulatory response
    - Workplan requested to include a map of proposed sampling locations and analytical methods.
    - LNAPL recovery considered likely





# 2022 Workplan Development & Regulatory Collaboration

- Client goal: address impact and sell the property\*
- Regulatory goal: TPH delineation, LNAPL recovery, protection of human health and the environment\*
- Terracon goal: develop comprehensive understanding of site conditions within a reasonable timeframe/budget to meet other stakeholder goals





# 2022 Workplan Development & Regulatory Collaboration





**The Issues** 



**Potential Approaches** 

Multiple Sources Multiple Fuel Types Large (+4.5') LNAPL In-Well Thicknesses Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion Concern Lack of COC definition in multiple media Repeat conventional assessment approach

Implement the Triad Approach to more rapidly develop a CSM



#### Workplan Development/Regulatory Collaboration



- High Resolution Site Characterization
- Monitoring Well Installation
- Groundwater Monitoring
- Fluid Level Equilibrium Measurements
- Soil Gas and Indoor Air Quality Evaluation

Weeks 4-12

Equilibrium

Measurements

- LNAPL Transmissivity Testing
- LNAPL Fingerprinting Analysis

Week 4

Groundwater

Monitoring

Conceptual Site Model

Week 3

Monitoring Wel

Installation



Five Days

Week 12-ongoing

Conceptual Site Mode

Development

Three weeks after HRSC One week after installation Every two weeks over four months Concurrent with Equilibrium Measurements Planned at end of Equilibrium Measurements Planned at end of Equilibrium Measurements Developed during winter season



Week 1

HRSC

## Real-Time Data – Project Setup

- Partnered with Dakota Technologies
- Terracon/Dakota preplanned:
  - Spatial Data
  - HRSC Data Transmittal
- Morning Kickoff Calls to Review Data and Chart Course





#### Real-Time Data – Technology Overview

- UVOST+HP
- MIHPT





#### LIF Daily Live Modeling Demonstration



Week 1	Week 3	Week 4	Weeks 4-12	
HRSC	Monitoring Well	Groundwater	Equilibrium	
	Installation	Monitoring	Measurements	





#### **Correlation Borings**





Week 12-ongoing
Conceptual Site Model Development

Week 3

Monitoring Well

Week 1 HRSC Week 4

Groundwater

Monitoring

Weeks 4-12

Equilibrium

Measurements



Monitoring Well Groundwater Equilibrium Installation Monitoring Measurements Conceptual Site Model Development



#### Groundwater Analytical Data

Legend   Mea of Concern   THFGRO (ug/l.)   MAPL Observed in Monitoring Well   Concentrations Not. Reported Above Minimur   Reported Concentrations Row PMDEQ Action   Reported Concentrations Row PMDEQ Action	A Laboratory Reporting Limit 2 Levels 1 Levels		Image: Second state of the second s	Page   Page     Page <th>4100 410 41</th> <th>Ounce   Bergy     5000   5000     5000   5000     NE   NE     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   4500     4101   2600     3.600   2800     7.4   -     3.00   2000     22.200   3.200     7000   2100     578.000   4300     100,000   2.400     3.200   2.200     3.200   2.200     3.200   3.200     700   2.100     578.000   4.500     1300   4.500     720   2.100</th> <th>NI H Breese Standing of the second se</th> <th>Source   Source   Source&lt;</th> <th>sea   sea     NE   NE     1.000   10,000     .   .     .</th> <th>Image: second second</th> <th>COUL   Sesure     ft   ft     ft</th> <th></th>	4100 410 41	Ounce   Bergy     5000   5000     5000   5000     NE   NE     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   3600     3.500   4500     4101   2600     3.600   2800     7.4   -     3.00   2000     22.200   3.200     7000   2100     578.000   4300     100,000   2.400     3.200   2.200     3.200   2.200     3.200   3.200     700   2.100     578.000   4.500     1300   4.500     720   2.100	NI H Breese Standing of the second se	Source   Source<	sea   sea     NE   NE     1.000   10,000     .   .     .	Image: second	COUL   Sesure     ft   ft     ft	
TPH-GPC	Groundwater (	Occurrence	MW-19 088	/30/2022 09/02/2022	<100	130 <200	<17200	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <3.0	8.00		
THEGRO	Groundwater											
Week 1 Week 3 HRSC Monitoring Well Installation	Week 4 Groundwater Monitoring	Weeks 4-12 Equilibrium Measurements	C	Week 12-on Conceptual Site I	ng <b>oing</b> Model					le	rra	<b>ACON</b> Explore with us

Development





#### **UVOST Results North-South**

Equilibrium

Measurements





HRSC

Monitoring Well

Groundwater

Monitoring



Conceptual Site Model Development



#### **UVOST Results East-West**





#### Fluid Level Measurement Results



The DGP for monitoring well MW-1 indicates a perched condition with LNAPL atop a silty clay layer. LNAPL was measured in MW-1 at thicknesses ranging from 1.85 ft to 6.18 ft



The point: Measured LNAPL thicknesses in monitoring wells can be misleading as perched or confined conditions can lead to exaggerated measured thicknesses



#### Waveforms and LNAPL Types



Explore with us

#### What We Know Now



**The Issues** 

Multiple Sources Multiple Fuel Types Large (+4.5') LNAPL In-Well Thicknesses Confirmed Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion Lack of COC definition in multiple media





#### **Our Understanding**

Multiple Sources and Fuel Types Confirmed Better Correlation of Fuel Type Perched LNAPL Conditions Basement Flooding/COC Water Intrusion due to Source Location COC Defined with Volumetric Understanding



#### Where We're At Now

- Funding
- Incorporation of RBCA Process
- Remedial Alternatives Evaluation
  - LNAPL Tn Testing and Fingerprinting
  - Indoor Air Evaluation
  - Considering Excavation or MPE







#### Key Takeaways

- **Defined Site:** TPH and LNAPL release extents defined
- Accelerated Schedule: Implementation of Triad Approach rapidly reduced project uncertainty
- **CSM:** Post-HRSC data collection and analysis has led to a well documented understanding of the site

#### "Everyday bite off more than you can chew and chew it!"







# Thank you!

#### **Contacts**

**Erik R. Gessert, P.E.** Senior Environmental Engineer D (303) 454 5257 <u>Erik.Gessert@terracon.com</u>

