Multi-Discipline & Multi-Stakeholder Arctic Laboratory Design for Science & Engineering

Tyler Burton, PhD Technical Services Director Merrick Alaska, Merrick & Company



www.merrick.com



Points to Consider



The Law of Requisite Variety: A control system must have as many possible states as the system it wants to control.



- Modern, complex problems are confined neither to single disciplines, nor to simple cultural constructs.
- Resilience problem complexities are systems control complexities. They require cross-cultural, and interdisciplinary research and innovation.
- Across any broadly-focused environmental problem, complex Stakeholder-effects figure importantly into innovation aimed at resilience.
- In the field, the effort required for harmonized Stakeholder agreement on research and innovation priorities is *easy to underestimate*. It's agreement attempting to overcome complexity.

This is an Arctic design case study.

> To date, project activity continues.



- The U.S. has strategic global, national, and regional interests in the Arctic.
- A changing Arctic natural environment complexly affects social, economic, geopolitical, and national security status quos.
- These effects combined with the growth of human activity accompanying energy production, resource development, transportation, and tourism – validate the need for, and expand the scale & scope of Arctic-based, relevant, practical, and problem-solving R&D.

Modern, complex problems require diverse crossdisciplinary solutions.

Inter-disciplinary methods are supported through lab design.



It is important to note that *depth in research* is not confined to single-discipline investigations ... If science and engineering deal with *extremely complex systems, the same is true for studies of human society*. How human societies evolve, make decisions, interact, and solve problems ... all ... call for diverse insight. *Very fundamental questions are inherently complex.* ... such questions ... require collaboration across the natural sciences, social sciences, and humanities.'*

- Acceptance, development, and deployment of R&Dbased solutions and technologies demand *inclusive*, *cross-cultural*, and *inter-disciplinary methods*
- Supportive laboratory & infrastructure design encourages
 & assists broadly-diverse Stakeholder collaboration.

*The Drivers of Interdisciplinary Research, National Academy of Sciences, et al., The National Academies Press (2005)

Stakeholderdriven Lab design

- Teaming with a University and a National Laboratory, Merrick explored Stakeholder-driven conceptual design for an Arctic research laboratory.
- The basis for design was laboratory configuration responsive to multi-Stakeholder perspectives and supportive of meaningful & cross-discipline Arctic science, engineering, and R&D.
- Additionally, the Lab sought to actively connect local communities with research & innovation relevant to Arctic living. Through local collaborations, it hoped to generate & ground solutions towards Arctic challenges.



 Through these connections & collaborations, the Lab would provide community education & outreach while providing research/technical training, internships, and jobs.



A multidiscipline & multi-Stakeholder Lab

Lab requirements elicitation & conceptual design



- For the Lab, as an A&E firm familiar with polar laboratory design, Merrick initiated exploratory requirements' elicitation for multi-discipline & multi-Stakeholder Lab configuration.
- Interviews, surveys, and conferences informed Lab design concepts and led to an expanding and diverse set of new Lab requirements.
- Subsequently, Merrick facilitated Lab User and other Stakeholder interaction through shared input via regular face-to-face & teleconferenced meetings.
- In due course, Lab conceptual purpose and design were explored, proposed, assessed, and rated.

Stakeholder identification

- Stakeholder identification proved a first challenge. In settling the Stakeholder list, broadly-diverse priorities stalled progress.
- Also, *Principal Investigators* (PIs) tend toward specific ideas about lab configuration. With professional experience, these usually trace to accepted & discipline-defined lab function.



Lab-design bias



- Few PIs consider or differentiate cross-discipline lab design.
 Fewer still have participated in the iterative collaboration & compromise necessary for shared lab design resolution.
- Overcoming Lab design-bias distracted and stalled design work. Substantial additional time & funding were necessary.

Modern, complex problems require diverse crossdisciplinary solutions.

Inter-disciplinary methods are supported through lab design.



- Interviews, surveys, and Stakeholders' interaction surfaced histories of mistrust among specific Stakeholders - and within the Stakeholder community at large.
- Responding to priorities, Stakeholder Lab requirements and attendant politics often seemed to work quite naturally at cross-purposes. Proposed resource-assistance from thirdparty private-sector Stakeholders was met with indifference and occasionally mistrust.
- Of note, among potential and specific Arctic Lab Stakeholders, even the agenda, ethics & purpose of science and research - were brought into question.
- Stakeholders' objectives and input towards a coherent and normalized starting-point for conceptual design remained difficult to harmonize.

Points to Remember



The Law of Requisite Variety: A control system must have as many possible states as the system it wants to control.



- In the field, the effort required for harmonized Stakeholder consensus on a cross-cultural and inter-disciplinary Arctic Lab design were seriously underestimated. *It was surface communication attempting to overcome deep complexity.*
- Successful Lab design response to the set of multi-Stakeholder and/or multi-discipline perspectives requires specific and substantial planning, design and resources.
- Substantive innovation toward resilience is not just about technologies and systems advancement.
- To overcome the full scope of resilience problem complexity, innovation must address the approach/communication strategies, tactics, & tools employed to introduce technologies & systems solutions to the range of Stakeholders.

Thank You



本